

10-19-00

PATENT APPLICATION

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES
Legal Department, 51U-PD
Intellectual Property Administration
P. O. Box 58043
Santa Clara, California 95052-8043

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10951105-6

jc905 U.S. PTO
09/691318
10/18/00

IN THE
U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

"Express Mail" label no.: EL 525 785 422US

Anticipated Classification of this application:

Class _____ Subclass _____

Prior application:

Examiner: R. Laneau

Art Unit: 2774

Date of Deposit: Oct. 18, 2000

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

By Nelia T. de Guzman

Typed Name: Nelia T. de Guzman

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

REQUEST FOR A CONTINUING APPLICATION UNDER 37 CFR 1.53(b)

Sir:

This is a request for filing a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b) a

- (X) continuation application of:
() divisional application of:

Pending Prior Application

Application Serial No. 09/022,097 filed Feb. 11, 1998

Title Method and System for Tracking Attitude

Name of applicant(s) Gary B. Gordon

Copy of Application

- (X) Enclosed is a copy of the prior application, including the drawings.
() Enclosed is a new specification, including new drawings.

Oath or Declaration

- (X) Enclosed is a copy of the prior Declaration (37 CFR 1.63(d)).
() Enclosed is a newly executed Declaration (original or copy).

Foreign Priority - 35 USC 119

- () Foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119 has been claimed in prior application Serial No. _____
filed on _____ in _____
() The certified copy has been filed in prior application Serial No. _____
filed _____
() A separate paper claiming direct priority to a foreign application is enclosed herewith. A certified copy of the foreign application will be provided in due course.

Relate Back - 35 USC 120

(X) Amend the specification after the title by inserting the following heading:

--Cross Reference To Related Application(s)--;

and add the paragraph:

--This is a (X) continuation () divisional

of copending application serial number 09/022,097 filed on Feb. 11, 1998

Inventorship Statement

- () Delete the following named individuals as inventors in this application in accordance with 37 CFR 1.53(b) as a result of a change in the claimed subject matter:

Appointment of Associate Attorney

- () Recognize as Associate Attorney or Agent _____
Registration No. _____
() authorization is hereby granted by signature below of the Attorney or Agent of record
() the Associate Attorney or Agent shall not have the authority to appoint other Attorneys or Agents

Communications

- (X) Address all future communications to: Direct telephone calls to:

AGILENT TECHNOLOGIES
Legal Department, 51UPD
Intellectual Property Administration
P. O. Box 58043
Santa Clara, California 95052-8043

Ian Hardcastle
(650) 485-3015

Other Amendments

- (X) Before calculating the filing fee, amend the prior application as follows:
(X) Cancel the following claims 1-16 of the prior application before calculating the filing fee. (At least one original independent claim must be retained for filing purposes).
(X) Enter the enclosed Preliminary Amendment.

Fee Calculation

- (X) The filing fee is calculated below for (X) Utility () Design

CLAIMS AS FILED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY				
(1) FOR	(2) NUMBER FILED	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) RATE	(5) TOTALS
TOTAL CLAIMS	3 — 20	0	X \$18	\$ 0
INDEPENDENT CLAIMS	1 — 3	0	X \$80	\$ 0
ANY MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIMS	0		\$270	\$ 0
BASIC FEE: Design (\$320.00); Utility (\$710.00)				\$ 710
TOTAL FILING FEE				\$ 710
TOTAL CHARGES TO DEPOSIT ACCOUNT				\$ 710

Charge \$ 710 to Deposit Account 50-1078. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any over payment to Deposit Account 50-1078 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 50-1078 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this transmittal letter is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

Gary B. Gordon

By 

Timothy Rex Croll
Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)
Reg. No. 36,771

Date: Oct. 18, 2000

Telephone No.: (650) 485-4881

Parent Patent App. No. 09/022,097; filed 2/11/98

Docket No.: 10951105-6

Express Mail Label No: EL 525 785 422 US
Date of Deposit: October 18, 2000

I hereby certify that this is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 C.F.R. 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Assistant Commissioner of Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231.

Nelia T. de Guzman
Nelia T. de Guzman

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Patent Application

Inventor(s): Gary B. Gordon

Group Art Unit:

Serial No.:

Examiner:

Filed: October 18, 2000

Title: Method and System for Tracking Attitude

Parent Serial No.: 09/022,097

Parent Group Art Unit: 2774

Parent Filed: February 11, 1998

Parent Examiner: R. Laneau

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT AND RESPONSE

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In response to the an Office Action mailed **December 28, 1999**, please reconsider the above-identified application based on the following amendment.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Claims 17, 18 and 19, are not directly amended, but are repeated below for the convenience of the Examiner when considering the following remarks.

17. An arrangement of a sensor and optics comprising:

an array of photosensors; and

a lens system for providing a focus for imaging by said array, said lens system having a characteristic of introducing curvilinear distortion of an image to said array;

said array having a shape to achieve compensation of said curvilinear distortion, including having arcuate edges to establish said compensation.

18. The arrangement of claim 17 wherein said photosensors are disposed in a plurality of columns and a plurality of rows and wherein said photosensors combine to define an optical axis for said array, adjacent columns being spaced apart by an arcuate boundary, with radii of curvature of said arcuate boundaries increasing with departure from said optical axis.

19. The arrangement of claim 18 wherein adjacent rows are spaced apart by second arcuate boundaries, with radii of curvature of said second arcuate boundaries increasing with departure from said optical axis.

REMARKS

Claims 17-19 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koenck et al (5,837,987, hereafter "Koenck").

The Office Action stated that, as per claim 17, Koenck teach a hand-held optically readable information set reader having one or more photosensor arrays (see abstract), and imaging array, an optical axis, and a lens system (see figure 32). *The Office Action admits that Koenck does not specifically teach a lens system having a characteristic distortion of an image and an array having a shape to achieve compensation.* However, the Office Action maintains that those are inherent features for photosensor arrays having a lens system; the shape of the array has no significant purpose with how this device operates; and the reason why they combine these two elements together are to not only have an automatic control of the lens system but also a better image would be displayed when looking at a distance.

Applicant respectfully, but strongly, traverses. Applicant respectfully submits that the Office Action has failed to make a prima facie showing of obviousness. Moreover, Applicant submits that the Office Action has mischaracterized Koenck and the teaching which it makes thereby failing to make a prima facie showing of inherency.

Claims 17 sets forth "a lens system for providing a focus for imaging by said array", the lens system having the feature that "said lens system having a characteristic of introducing curvilinear distortion of an image to said array the limitation". Claim 17 further sets forth a feature that " said array having a shape to achieve compensation of said curvilinear distortion, including having arcuate edges to establish said compensation". *The Office Action has admitted that Koenck does not specifically teach a lens system having a characteristic distortion of an image and an array having a shape to achieve compensation.*

Contrary to the assertions of the Office Action, Applicant respectfully submits that the feature of claim 17 of "said array having a shape to achieve compensation of said curvilinear distortion, including having arcuate edges to establish said

compensation" is not inherent in the teaching of Koenck. MPEP §2112 and the case law require that an examiner must provide rationale or evidence tending to show inherency. "In relying upon the theory of inherency, the examiner must provide a basis in fact and/or technical reasoning to reasonably support the determination that the alleged characteristic necessarily flows from the teachings of the applied prior art," *Ex parte Levy*, 17 USPQ2d, 1461, 1464 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990) (emphasis in original). Figure 31 of Koenck clearly shows an array of rectangular photosensors in a rectangular array. Moreover, Koenck teaches away from the use of an arcuate edged-shaped array of photosensors to compensate for image distortion. Koenck teaches initial processing of the captured image during a normalization or calibration process in which blemishes in the image are recognized and the image is blemish neutralized in accordance with known data processing techniques (see e.g., Col. 36, li. 53-56)..

Contrary to the assertions of the Office Action, Applicant maintains that the specification of the instant application sets forth many reasons why the particular configuration of the array having arcuate edges is significant and is more than simply one of numerous configurations a person of ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of having different types of edges. For example, at page 25, beginning at line 2, Applicant states that because of this configuration although the images of the feature are still distorted, the distortion causes the images to fit within the pixels. Thus, each feature will be viewed as being rectilinear at the computation array level. . . . This process produces accurate imaging with lenses of any field of view, and has many applications such as in positional navigation. However, in the present pointing device, it is a goal to transduce angles and not distances. For lenses of narrow fields of view, angles tend to become increasingly compressed as one moves further off of the optical axis. This undesirable angular compression is avoided by changing the design process such that a segment of a sphere is substituted for the aforementioned flat array.

Applicant then summarizes several advantages on page 26 beginning at line 2. One advantage of the curvilinear array is that it provides more accurate autocorrelations, regardless of how far the images move from the axis of the sensor array. A second benefit is that distances are true and not compressed, so that attitude tracking is accurate over larger pixel moves without regard to where the move is imaged on the sensor array. Each benefit of the curvilinear array in itself contributes to more accurate tracking. Since the correlation is stronger and less noisy, the accuracy of measuring the end point of each move segment is higher. Moreover, since the image can be tracked in longer segments, the frequency of zeroing the reference frame is reduced, so that the cumulative re-zeroing error becomes less. Finally, because the curvilinear array does not compress off-axis moves, the accuracy of distance measurement is greater.

Regarding Koenck, there is nothing to show that the 2-dimensional array of Figure 31 (or, for that matter, Figure 45, etc.) is anything but a conventional rectilinear array. At column 6, line 12-13, the system of Figure 31 is described as having a typical solid state video imaging array and lens system and the dimensions shown in Figure 31 show a conventional rectilinear sensor array. Although most of the description of Figures 31 and 32 is incorporated by reference from US patent 5,019,699, the description beginning with Figure is similar to that for Figures 31 and 32. In no place does Koenck recognize lens introduced distortion as being a problem (or even existing, for that matter). Although Koenck discusses many possible causes of imaging artifacts, Koenck teaches, for example at page 37, line 31-44, a digital processor that performs post processing of a raw image to remove blemish and noise components, rotate the image to a normalized position, correct for optical skew due to curvature of the target label or reading form an off-axis angle, and the like.

Furthermore, at column 40, lines 13-27, Koenck teaches the use of a Xenon flash to adjust the "f-stop" of the optics and thereby focus an image on the sensor

array even though the distance may vary between two inches and well in excess of one foot. If further range is required, Koenck teaches the automatic focusing techniques to further increase the ability of the reader to focus over an increased range of several feet.

The Office Action stated that, as per claims 18 and 19, Koenck teach photosensors that are disposed in columns and rows; that Figure 32 clearly shows the optical axis for the array; and that having the columns spaced apart by an arcuate boundary with radii increasing with departure of curvature is inherent.


Applicant respectfully disagrees. Figure 32 of Koenck '978 is a simplified version of Figure 2 of the Koenck '699 patent, which was incorporated by reference into the '978 patent ('978, col. 6, li. 11-26). In the description of figure 2, Koenck teaches that the imaging array may be placed directly in line with the optical axis of the reader ('699, col. 3, li. 7-11). Thus, the optical axis shown by Koenck is that of the reader instead of that of the imaging array.

Moreover, Figure 31 of Koenck '978 and Figure 1 of Koenck '699 clearly teach a rectangular imaging array having rectangular pixels. For example, in Figure 31 it is clearly shown that the array is rectangular and made up of rectangular pixels. Within the accuracy of the dimensions given for an individual pixel, multiplying the width of a pixel times the number of pixels in a row yields the given width of the array. Similarly, multiplying the height of a pixel times the number of pixels in a column yields the height of the array. Clearly, Koenck teaches a rectilinear array which does not have arcuate boundaries which increase with the radii. There is simply nothing in either Koenck patent to suggest that having the columns spaced apart by an arcuate boundary with radii increasing with departure of curvature is inherent in the array or even a desirable property of an imaging array.

Therefore, for the reasons above, Applicant maintains that claims 18 and 19 are allowable.

The Applicant respectfully suggests that each of the claims presently in the application is patentably distinct over the prior art and that the application is now in condition for allowance. Accordingly, the Applicant requests that the rejection of the claims be withdrawn and the claims allowed. A notice of allowance is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
Gary B. Gordon

BY: 

Timothy Rex Croll
Reg. No. 36,771

Date: **October 18, 2000**
Ph. No.: **(650) 485-4881**

Agilent Technologies, Inc.
Legal Department, 51UPD
Intellectual Property Administration
P.O. Box 58043
Santa Clara, CA 95052-8043

Description

METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR TRACKING ATTITUDE

5 TECHNICAL FIELD

The invention relates generally to methods and systems for tracking attitude of a device and more particularly to tracking the attitude of a device in order to control a device or process, such as a cursor of a video display.

BACKGROUND ART

There are applications in which video systems require that a person interact with information presented on a display screen. At times, the interaction is to occur while the person is situated at a distance from the display screen. As will be described more fully below, the interaction may be accomplished by remotely controlling a screen cursor in one of a variety of manners. The interactions may include selecting from a variety of choices presented as a screen menu, or "typing" text using an on-screen keyboard. Examples of remote interactive video systems (RIVS) include interactive television (ITV), TV-style Internet browsers, and conference-room video projectors.

One key component of a RIVS is the "pointing" device for controlling the on-screen cursor. The pointing device fulfills a function analogous to that which mice, trackballs, and graphic tablets perform for computers. However, the environment for RIVS presents difficulties that are typically not encountered in operation of a computer. For example, an operator of a RIVS is typically further away from the controlled device than is the operator of a computer. As another example, the operator of a RIVS is more likely to be in an unstructured immediate environment, e.g., an ITV operator seated across a living room from a television set. In many situations, the environment precludes use of conventional

computer pointing devices, such as mice. Moreover, a RIVS is rarely equipped with a keyboard, so that the pointing device may have to accommodate the extra burden of providing a text entry.

5 There are a number of known pointing devices for a RIVS. Most of the known pointing devices implement some variation of a four-key cursor pad on a hand-held controller. The four-key cursor pad is manipulated to
10 step the screen cursor up, down, left or right among various menu choices. Such interfaces emulate the computer keyboard cursor keys used with old-style textural interfaces. However, these interfaces are typically much slower and less intuitive to use than computer mice and
15 other pointing devices developed for modern graphical software interfaces.

 In an effort to improve upon cursor control within the RIVS environment, more advanced computer pointing devices of mice and trackballs have been adapted. In one adaptation, a miniature trackball is
20 mounted atop a controller, with the trackball being operated by the person's thumb. The trackball controller is faster than the use of cursor keys and facilitates diagonal moves. Unfortunately, the trackball may require repeated strokes to accomplish large cursor movements
25 and, in general, thumb control taxes the user's thumb dexterity. For example, it is difficult to trace the cursor in a circle on the display screen.

 The use of a mouse for ITV cursor control has been demonstrated. The advantage of the mouse is that it
30 provides excellent and intuitive cursor control. The concern is that there may not be a suitable planar operating surface that is convenient to the operator.

 A further refinement in the RIVS pointing art is the use of devices that enable control of a cursor by
35 merely gesturing with a controller. These devices may measure the attitude, i.e. pitch, yaw, and possibly roll, of the controller. A first category of such an approach employs light beams to measure attitude. PCT

International Publication Number WO 95/19031 describes a system for determining the pointing orientation of a remote unit relative to a fixed base unit. The fixed base unit includes one or more light sources for emitting a light beam. The emitted light is polarized in at least one predetermined orientation. The movable remote unit includes a photodetector for detecting the polarized emitted light. The attitude of the movable remote unit may be determined by measuring the intensity of received light from various directions.

Another implementation of the emitted-light category of measuring attitude is one in which an infrared (IR) signal is beamed from the area of the video display. The IR signal is defocused and is imaged onto a quad photodiode array in the controller. The relative signal amplitudes from the four photodiodes may be used to determine the relative orientation of the controller to a line drawn from the display. One concern is that the system may undesirably flood the room with intense IR, rendering other nearby IR-coupled appliances (e.g., a VCR controller) inoperative. A second concern is that the limited range of transmission of defocused IR signals may render this system of measuring attitude unreliable when the controller is more than a relatively short distance from the video display.

A second category of devices that measure attitude of the controller is one in which inertial navigation principles are employed. Gyroscopes or encoded gimballled masses establish inertial frames in the controllers, against which attitude changes can be measured. The attitude information may then be transmitted to the video display via a radio-frequency link to a small dipole antenna affixed atop the video display.

The third category is related to the first category. A hand-held object that provides cursor control has a number of light sources mounted on one surface. A single electronic camera is directed to capture images of the light sources mounted on a hand-held

object. Locations of the images of the light sources are detected in each camera image, and a computer is used to determine the attitude of the light-emitting hand-held object. Such a device is described in U.S. Pat. No.

5 5,338,059 to DeMenphon.

A closely related need exists in the field of virtual reality. In games, simulations, and other visualization situations, it is often necessary to encode the attitude of a user's head, or other body part. In
10 many cases, systems for encoding head pitch and yaw may be applied to RIVS controllers, and vice versa. One known virtual reality system encodes pitch and yaw by means of instrumented compasses and gravimeters.

While the known cursor control devices and
15 attitude-determining systems operate adequately for their intended purposes, each is associated with a concern or a problem. Operation may be slow or tedious, or may require use of a specific operating surface. Devices and systems that include IR radiation may adversely affect
20 operation of other devices. Attitude-sensing devices that are based on gravity may have difficulty in distinguishing tilting from transverse acceleration, thereby rendering control erratic. This last problem conceivably could be solved by gyro stabilization, but the cost and
25 power consumption make this solution unattractive. Known systems that utilize light detection require adding a second contrivance at the display, again adding additional cost.

What is needed is a method and a system for
30 reliably tracking attitude of a device. What is further needed is such a method and system that is cost efficient when used in controlling a screen cursor or when used in other remote interactive video applications.

35 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

Correlation of successive images acquired by means of a two-dimensional array of photosensors is used as a basis for tracking attitude of a device to which the

array is affixed. In the preferred embodiment, the device is a hand-holdable member, such as a controller for maneuvering a cursor on a display screen of a video set. Based upon the step of correlating images to detect differences in location of imaged features that are common to a succession of images, the system generates an attitudinal signal indicative of any changes in angular orientation during the time period of acquiring the images. That is, the attitudinal signal is determined by the pitch and yaw, and optionally the roll, of the device that bears the array of photosensors. Since the acquired images need not be related to that which is being controlled, e.g. a screen cursor, the device can face in any direction during the control process. Moreover, it is not necessary to provide a dimensional one-to-one correspondence of angular displacement of the device and travel of that which is being controlled. Within cursor control, for example, the controller may be directed arbitrarily and relationships of degrees of pitch and yaw to lengths of cursor movement may be user-adjustable.

The two-dimensional array of photosensors is used to acquire a reference frame for tracking the attitude of the device. The reference frame is stored and a second image of features within a field of view of the array is acquired. The second image may be considered to be a sample image, and the fields of view of the two images should be largely overlapping, so that the reference and sample frames include a number of common features. While not critical, the device includes optics which provide a focus nominally at infinity, intentionally presenting an off-sharp image to the array of photosensors. In the application of the device for controlling a screen cursor, the representative imaged features will typically include windows, lamps, furniture and the display screen itself. In any application of the invention, one or more stationary sources of light may be specifically added within the environment to be imaged, so that successive images of the fixed light are used for

the purpose of correlation. In one implementation of such an embodiment, the source of light is an IR emitter and the imaging array on the device is provided with IR filtering to permit tracking of the attitude of the device.

Conceptually, the step of correlating the reference frame with a sample frame is one in which one of the frames is fixed in position and the other frame is repeatedly shifted to determine which shifted position best approximates an alignment of the imaged features that are common to the two frames, thereby allowing the determination of the pitch and yaw of the imaging array during the interval between acquiring the two frames. In practice, the shifts are performed computationally and are shifts of pixel values in which each pixel value is indicative of light energy received at a particular photosensor at a specific time. The correlations may be limited to computational shifts of only one pixel for nearest-neighbor correlations, or may be multi-pixel computational shifts. The nearest-neighbor correlation process is often preferred, since it is less computationally complex, with only the original position and eight computational shifts being necessary. Interpolations are then performed to determine angular displacements that are less than a full pixel. Angular displacement of the device about a horizontal axis, i.e. pitch, will result in the arrangement of pixel values of the reference frame being moved upwardly or downwardly. Angular displacement of the device about a vertical axis, i.e. yaw, will result in the pixel value arrangement being moved to the left or to the right. The system detects pitch, yaw and combinations of pitch and yaw. The attitudinal signal that is generated by the system is responsive to the detection of such angular displacements. Optionally, roll may also be considered.

In the application in which the attitudinal signal is generated in order to control a screen cursor, the device preferably includes a transmitter for wireless

transmission of a cursor-control signal. For example,
the signal may be transmitted via an infrared beam.
Changes in the pitch of the hand-holdable device are then
translated into vertical movements of the screen cursor,
5 while changes in device yaw will move the screen cursor
laterally. In this embodiment, translational movement of
the device may also be detected and utilized, so that
vertical or horizontal movement of the device translates
to a corresponding vertical or horizontal movement of the
10 screen cursor.

One concern in the implementation of the method
and system is the effect of the phenomena known in the
lens design as curvilinear distortions. Curvilinear
distortions are also referred to as pin-cushion, barrel,
15 and perspective distortions. Rectilinear detail is
compressed at the outer edges of the field by such
distortion. Curvilinear distortion is particularly
pronounced in simple lenses with wide fields of view,
such as the lens contemplated for use with the present
20 invention. In the invention, the field of view is
preferably approximately 64° , so that curvilinear
distortions will inevitably occur.

In the preferred embodiment, the photosensors
of the array vary dimensionally in order to define an
array that is curvilinear, i.e., includes an arcuate
25 outer edge. The curvilinear array is dimensioned to
compensate for the curvilinear distortion introduced by
the lens system. The imaging by the optics is evaluated
to characterize the curvilinear distortion, with the
array then being patterned to offset the distortion. In
30 this manner, the arrangement of the photosensor array and
the optics greatly reduces adverse effects of curvilinear
distortion.

An advantage of the invention is that device
35 attitude may be tracked in a reliable and cost-efficient
manner. For those applications in which the array-
bearing device is a hand-holdable device, control of a
screen cursor or the like is economically achieved

without a premium on dexterity. Moreover, the device does not require operation on a suitable surface.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

5 Fig. 1 is a perspective view of a hand-holdable device that enables attitude tracking of the device, in accordance with the invention.

 Fig. 2 is a block diagram of components for controlling a screen cursor in accordance with the
10 invention.

 Fig. 3 is a block diagram of an array of photosensors and transfer amplifiers of the correlator of Fig. 2.

 Fig. 4 is an operation view of the steps for correlating successive images in accordance with the
15 invention.

 Fig. 5 is a conceptual view of the steps of Fig. 4.

 Fig. 6 is an embodiment of a computation cell of an array of cells for carrying out the steps of
20 Fig. 4.

 Figs. 7-11 illustrate arrays of photosensors and computation cells for demonstrating and then reducing curvilinear distortions.

25

BEST MODE FOR CARRYING OUT THE INVENTION

 With reference to Fig. 1, a hand-holdable controller device 10 is shown as including an integrated circuit package 12 for acquiring and processing image
30 data. On the surface of the package is an optoelectric integrated circuit chip 14 having a two-dimensional array 16 of photosensors and having processing circuitry 18. The array and processing circuitry are used to acquire successive frames of image data, permitting the attitude
35 of the device to be tracked. Thus, angular displacement about a horizontal axis 20, i.e. pitch, and angular displacement about a vertical axis 22, i.e. yaw, can be

determined. Optionally, roll about the longitudinal axis 24 of the device 10 can also be monitored.

5 The invention will be described primarily with reference to providing control of a remote element, such as controlling a screen cursor of a video display. However, it is understood that the approach of tracking attitude by means of correlating images formed by a photosensor array attached to the device has applications beyond that of controlling a screen cursor or the like.

10 The sensor array 16 and the processing circuitry 18 are mounted on the front of the controller device 10 with the array facing outwardly. A lens 26 is shown in Fig. 1 as being partially cutaway in order to expose the array and circuitry. The lens may be an
15 inexpensive plastic lens that is molded to the integrated circuit package 12. The focus of the lens is set nominally at infinity. The lens provides an image of a region of the surrounding environment to the sensor array 16. A short focal-length lens is preferred, since a
20 greater number of features within the environment will be imaged and used for the purpose of tracking attitude. The image quality demands are sufficiently lax, such that the demands on the optical arrangement are not stringent. In fact, a slight blurring is desirable, so that the
25 spatial frequencies of the image are coarser than the size of the array elements.

The width of the field of view for imaging the environment is a matter of balancing the desire of capturing as much visible detail as possible with the
30 requirement of avoiding excessive distortion. A 64° field of view provides a reasonable compromise between these two concerns. The dashed lines 28 in Fig. 1 represent the field of view for the sensor array 16. The arrangement of the optics and the sensor array may
35 be selected to reduce any adverse effects of curvilinear distortion on the attitude-tracking approach of the invention. The optic/sensor arrangement will be described in greater detail below.

On the top surface of the controller device 10 are a cursor control key 30 and a return key 32. In operation, the screen cursor of a video display may be captured by depression of the control key 30. With the control key in a depressed position, the angular displacement of the device 10 will be translated into movement of the cursor along the display screen. For example, in selecting a particular movie from a menu of movies presented by an interactive television system, key 30 is depressed and pitch and/or yaw of the device 10 is employed to drag the screen cursor to the desired movie. The control key 30 is then released to release control of the screen cursor. With the cursor indicating the desired menu choice, the return key 32 is depressed to activate the selection. Alternatively, a single selection key similar in operation to that of a computer mouse may be utilized, so that cursor control is continuously enabled.

Remote communication is provided by infrared transmission via an emitter 34. Infrared emission is well known in the art. For cursor control, signal vectors are generated and transmitted. The vectors are sent as increments in order to reduce the burden of the IR communications channel by keeping the data bytes shorter. The rate at which vectors are transmitted should be sufficiently fast to achieve the necessary cursor responsiveness, but not so fast as to tax the limited bandwidth of the IR link to the controlled set. As will be explained more fully below, in one embodiment the transmission is a 10-bit word that is emitted every 40 ms, as needed. When neither of the keys 30 and 32 is depressed, no transmission occurs. This frees the IR emitter for other functions during non-cursor events. Thus, the controller device 10 may include keys to control other events, such as the changing of a television channel.

Referring now to Figs. 1 and 2, a control clock 36 determines the timing of operations for the controller

device 10. The image-capture rate is at least partially determined by the features that are to be imaged. Where the field of view 28 is a living room of a person engaged in operation of an ITV system, the image capture rate must be sufficiently great to ensure that the image data is sufficiently intelligible to permit correlation between successive images. The circuitry of Fig. 2 includes an exposure control 38 which shows a minimum exposure of 5 μ s and a maximum exposure of 5 ms. Electronically, the "exposure time" is the interval during which charge generated by the individual photosensors is allowed to integrate during the 5 ms period between successive image captures. While the exposure control may be manually adjusted, the preferred embodiment is one in which there is a feedback line 40 from image correlator circuitry 42 to establish automatic gain control. Thus, if the image data is consistently off-scale for performing correlation processing, the exposure control 38 increases the interval for integrating charge by the photosensors.

As previously noted, the field of view 28 is contemplated to be approximately 64°. In a sensor array of 32x32 photosensors, a single pixel will have an approximately 2° field of view (64°/32 pixels). In the preferred embodiment to be described below, correlations are limited to nearest-neighbor pixel cells. This establishes a maximum velocity for movement of the controller device 12. In the preferred embodiment, the maximum velocity should not exceed one pixel length between successive image captures. If a frame of image data is to be acquired at the correlator 42 every 5 ms, the maximum velocity will be 200 pixels per second. Since the field of view of each pixel is 2°, the maximum velocity is 400° per second.

The operation of the correlator 42 will be explained in detail below. Its basic function is to compare the arrangement of pixel values in a first frame of image data to the location of a similar arrangement in

a subsequent frame. The sensor array 16 is used to acquire a frame of 32x32 pixel values. The first captured frame is referred to as a reference frame. The first reference frame is captured upon depression of the cursor control key 30 of Fig. 1. A subsequently captured frame of pixel values, referred to as a sample frame, is compared with the reference frame to detect changes in attitude of the controller device 10. A new reference frame is then acquired. The reference frame may be replaced by the sample frame after each correlation. Alternatively, the reference frame may be replaced with a sample frame each time a threshold is reached, such as a time threshold or a threshold based upon movement of the device.

Correlation will be described in detail below. Conceptually, the process may be considered as fixing the two-dimensional reference frame in position and shifting a transparency of the two-dimensional sample frame to various positions atop the reference frame in order to detect alignment of common features of the two frames. This conceptual view is shown in Fig. 5 and is computationally carried out by an array of cells such as the pixel cell shown in Fig. 6.

The correlation processing generates an attitudinal signal indicative of any changes in angular orientation of the controller device 10. In Fig. 2, the correlator 42 is shown as generating a signal in which change along the X axis is +0.75 pixels, while the change along the Y axis is -0.31 pixels. In Fig. 1, positive movement along the X axis may be indicated as a result of angular displacement of the device 10 such that the field of view 28 is moved in the direction of arrow 44, while a negative movement along the X axis is one in which a component of displacement of the field of view 28 is in the direction indicated by arrow 46. Regarding the Y axis, a positive value at the attitudinal signal indicates manipulation of the device 10 to rotate the field of view as shown by arrow 48, while a negative value of

ΔY indicates displacement in the direction shown by arrow 50.

5 The preferred approach for operation of the correlator 42 is one in which nearest-neighbor pixel shifts are executed in order to track changes in attitude of the controller device. This approach will be detailed when referring to Figs. 4, 5 and 6. However, the attitude tracking alternatively may be carried out using correlations requiring shifts of greater than a single
10 pixel. For either approach, interpolations are computationally performed to identify fractional pixel values. Such image correlating interpolating is well understood by persons skilled in the art.

15 The output from the correlator 42 is received at a multiplier 52 that increases the sensitivity of the cursor control. In the embodiment of Fig. 2, the multiplier doubles the ΔX and ΔY values from the correlator. The signal is then conducted to an accumulator 54. A divide-by-eight circuit 56 dictates operation of the
20 accumulator 54. Eight samples will be received from the multiplier 52 before the accumulator outputs a sample to a modulo function block 58. The output of the modulo block will be the integer portion of the accumulation of the eight samples from the multiplier 52. As previously
25 noted, the controller device transmits a cursor control signal by means of an IR emitter 34. IR transmission links have limited bandwidth. The use of the accumulator 54 reduces the demands on the communications link.

30 The exemplary sample from the accumulator 54 in Fig. 2 is shown to be one in which $\Delta X = +15.2$ pixels and $\Delta Y = -3.3$ pixels. The modulo block 58 passes only the whole numbers to a pulse code modulator 60. Each of the ΔX and ΔY values is contained within 5 bits, with 4 bits relating to the numerical value and the remaining bit
35 relating to whether the numerical value is positive or negative.

The values output from the modulo block 58 to the modulator 60 are whole number values, while the

remainder of the sample from the accumulator 54 is conducted to a residue circuit 62. The residue values are saved at the residue circuit and added to subsequent values from the accumulator.

5 The remaining arrangement of components is well known in the art. A 10-bit word is output from the pulse code modulator 60 to an amplifier 64 every 40 ms. Thus, the IR transmission from the emitter 34 is only 25 words per second. The IR transmission is received by a sensor
10 66 of a display system, such as a RIVS. The signal from the IR sensor 66 is decoded at a demodulator 68 and transmitted to a cursor control circuit 70 for manipulating a screen cursor of a video screen 72. Merely for example, the screen may have 64x64 cursor addresses
15 and the screen cursor may move $15 \times 25 = 375$ address states/second. In the example given above in which the field of view 28 of Fig. 1 is 64° , the screen can be traversed in 0.17 second ($64/375$).

 The arrangement and interaction of the
20 components of Fig. 2 permit cursor control based upon tracking of the attitude of the controller device 10 of Fig. 1. The controller encodes the device pitch, yaw and optionally roll by tracking the apparent motion of an image of the environment in which the device resides. It
25 is not necessary to point the controller in any one direction, other than to establish the IR link between the emitter 34 and the sensor 66. With the possible exception of the correlator 42, the individual components of Fig. 2 are readily understood by persons skilled in
30 the art and need not be explained in detail. However, the preferred embodiment of the correlator 42 will be set forth below. The preferred arrangement of the two-dimensional sensor array 16 and the optics 26 will also be set forth.

35

COLUMN TRANSFERS OF PHOTSENSOR SIGNALS

As previously noted, the embodiment of Figs. 1 and 2 includes a sensor array 16 having thirty-two columns and thirty-two rows of photosensors. Referring now to Fig. 3, five columns 74, 75, 76, 77 and 78 of the thirty-two columns of photosensors are shown. Also shown are six of the thirty-two rows 79, 80, 81, 82, 83 and 84. Each column is operatively associated with a separate transfer amplifier 85. A photosensor in a column is connected to the operatively associated transfer amplifier for closing a read switch 86. In the operation of the circuitry of Fig. 3, no two photosensors are connected to the same transfer amplifier simultaneously.

Each transfer amplifier 85 includes an input 87 that is connected to a source of a fixed voltage. A second input 88 is capacitively connected to the output 90 of the transfer amplifier by a transfer capacitor 89.

In the operation of the circuit of Fig. 3, the read switches 86 of the first row 79 of photosensors may be closed, so that each transfer capacitor 89 receives a charge corresponding to the light energy at the associated photosensor in the first row. Thus, the transfer amplifiers 85 and the transfer capacitors 89 function as integrators. The received charge is transferred to subsequent processing circuitry via the output lines 90. Following the readout of the first row, the read switches of the first row are opened and the transfer amplifiers are reset. The read switches of the second row 80 are then closed in order to transfer the signals from the photosensors of the second row. The process is repeated until each row of photosensors is read. By the operation of the transfer amplifiers 85, photosensor signals are transferred in a row-by-row fashion to subsequent circuitry.

CORRELATION PROCESSING

Fig. 4 illustrates steps for carrying out the correlation processing for tracking attitude of a device.

5 In effect, the correlations compare the positions of imaged features in successive frames to provide information related to changes in angular orientation during time intervals between frames. In a first step 200, a reference frame is acquired. As noted above, the capture
10 of the reference frame may be initiated by depression of the cursor control key 30 on the upper surface of the device 10 of Fig. 1. The row-by-row transfer of signals from the photosensors continues until each photosensor in the array 16 has been read. The reference frame is a
15 frame of 1,024 pixel values ($32 \times 32 = 1,024$).

While the tracking of the attitude of the controller device 10 is performed computationally, the concepts of the correlation may be described with reference to Figs. 4 and 5. A reference frame 202 is
20 shown as having an image of a T-shaped feature 204. The image feature may be a window in a room of a person operating an ITV system. At a later time (dt) the sensor array 16 of Figs. 1-3 is used to acquire a sample frame 206 as shown in Fig. 5. The field of view 28 of the
25 controller device during acquisition of the sample frame largely overlaps the field of view at the time of acquiring the reference frame 202. As a result, the imaged feature 204, e.g. a window, is included within both of the frames. The duration dt and the velocity of the
30 movement of the device preferably are such that the common features 204 will move less than one pixel from the reference frame to the sample frame. The schematic representation of Fig. 5 shows the feature as having shifted upwardly and to the right by one full pixel. The
35 full-pixel shift is assumed only to simplify the representation.

Fig. 4 shows the step 208 of acquiring the sample frame after the step 200 of acquiring the

reference frame. A computation step 210 is then executed. The correlation step is a shifting of the arrangement of the pixel values of the sample frame 204 and two nearest-neighbor pixel cells. The shifting is performed sequentially for each of eight nearest-neighbor pixel cells, as represented by member 212 in Fig. 5. Referring to the member 212, step "0" does not include a shift of the pixel values in the sample frame 206, step "1" is a diagonal shift upwardly and to the left, step "2" is an upward shift of the pixel values of the sample frame, etc. Eight shifts of the reference frame represented by member 212 occur one at a time, but all pixel values are shifted uniformly. In this manner, the eight pixel-shifted sample frames can be combined with the reference frame 202 to produce the frame array 214 of position frames. The position frame designated as "Position 0" does not include a shift, so that the result is merely a combination of frames 202 and 206.

Within the frame array 214, "Position 7" has the highest correlation. Based upon the correlation results, the position of the T-shaped feature 204 in the sample frame 206 is determined to be an upward and rightward shift relative to the position of the same feature in the earlier-acquired reference frame 202. The movement of the feature is a result of a combination of pitch and yaw of the controller device 10 of Fig. 1, with components indicated by the leftward arrow 44 and the downward arrow 50.

While other correlation approaches may be employed, an acceptable approach is a "sum of the squared differences" correlation. For the embodiment of Figs. 4 and 5, there are nine correlation coefficients ($C_k = C_0, C_1 \dots C_8$) formed from the nine offsets of 212.

Correlations are used to find the locations of common features 204 of successive frames 202 and 206 in order to track the angular orientation of the controller device 10. Summing or integrating angular displacements

from frame-to-frame allows an attitudinal signal to be generated for controlling a screen cursor.

After the correlation step 210 has been executed for the sample frame acquired at step 208, a determination is made at step 216 as to whether to maintain or replace the reference frame. In some embodiments, the reference frame is not replaced. If the same reference frame is to be used for subsequent correlation computation, the process returns to step 208 and a new sample frame is acquired. On the other hand, for applications in which there is a substitution of reference frames, step 218 shows the use of the previously acquired sample frame as a new reference frame prior to returning to step 208 of acquiring a new sample frame.

If the same reference frame is to be used throughout a process of dragging a cursor along a screen, the reference frame can be shifted upon detection that common features have traveled more than one pixel length since the acquisition of the reference frame. Thus, the reference frame is "walked" by detection of pitch, yaw and/or roll of the device. This process provides a high degree of correlation match, but errors that do occur will accumulate if the reference frame is not periodically replaced. Thus, in the preferred embodiment step 218 is included so as to place a restriction on the growth rate of this "random walk" error.

COMPUTATION CELL

Fig. 6 is a schematic diagram of an individual pixel cell, or computation cell 94, for implementing the nearest-neighbor shifts of member 212 in Fig. 5 and for carrying out the various steps of Fig. 4. However, as will be understood by persons skilled in the art, other circuits may be used to carry out the process steps described and illustrated with reference to Figs. 4 and 5.

The computation cell 94 of Fig. 6 is one of an array of computation cells. If there is a one-to-one correspondence of computation cells and photosensors, the embodiment of Figs. 1-3 will have 1,024 computation
5 cells. For the reference frame, the pixel value from the photosensor that corresponds to the particular cell 94 will be stored at the REFDATA node 148, while pixel values of the sample frame will be stored at NN(0) node 108. The shifted sample frames for forming the frame
10 array 214 of Fig. 5 are provided by changing the signal connections to NNINPUT input 150 of a square-of-the-difference circuit 146. The output 150 of the circuit 146 is combined with the outputs of the other 1,023 computation cells to track the attitude of the controller
15 device 10.

Image data WDATA(i) is received from the photosensor that corresponds to the computation cell 94 at line 96, by means of a charge compensate transistor switch 98 under the control of a WR(j) signal. After the
20 WR(j) signal is deasserted, the new data is held at capacitor 100 and is buffered by an amplifier 102. In the entire array of 1,024 computation cells, the array of CDATA nodes 104 is collectively referred to as the "reference frame" or the "sample frame," depending upon
25 the timing of the process. Control input CDOUT 106 selects signal CDATA, comparison data, or selects REFOUT for the nearest-neighbor output node NN(0) 108.

The nearest-neighbor inputs NN(0)-NN(8) 110, 112, 114, 116, 118, 120, 122, 124 and 126 are separately
30 selected by means of switch control signals S(0)-S(8) on lines 128. The NN(0)-NN(8) inputs 110-126 are the outputs of the nearest-neighbor cells according to the data cell map 212 of Fig. 5. The switch control signals are generated by a 4-9 encoder, not shown, that is external
35 to the computational array. The 4-bit input to the encoder is referred to as the nearest-neighbor address and takes on binary values from 0000(0) to 1000(8).

The nearest-neighbor input (NNINPUT) node 130 is sampled by pulsing REFLD 132, thereby storing the NNINPUT on node REFH 134. Similarly, REFDATA 136 can be sampled and held on REFSH 138 by pulsing REFSFT 140.

5 For testing, ROWSTB 142 can be asserted, allowing the NN(0) signal to propagate to the TEST output 144. The TEST signals from each cell in a row of cells connect to common vertical buses in each column of the computational array and are multiplexed at the bottom of
10 the array and driven off-chip. A standard row decoder along the left edge of the array allows selection of a particular row for test. However, the test feature is not critical to the invention.

Each computation cell 94 has a circuit 146 that
15 determines correlation values. A first input 148 receives the reference data from REFDATA node 136. A second input 150 provides the nearest-neighbor input NNINPUT selected by the appropriate switch control signal at lines 128. The output 152 of the correlation cell is
20 a current. All of the correlation outputs in the computational array are summed together in a single off-chip summing resistor of a tracking circuit 154. The voltage developed across the summing resistor is referred to as the correlation values in Fig. 4.

25 In the embodiment of Fig. 6, the circuit 146 is based on a squared-difference calculation. The cell 94 may be modified to provide product-based correlations without modifying the basic architecture of the array. Control inputs S(0)-S(8), REFLD, REFSFT and CDOUT are
30 global to the entire array, so that the sample frame is manipulated and shifted uniformly within the computation cell array.

It is important to understand the relationship between the nearest-neighbor map represented by 214 in
35 Fig. 5 for a single cell and for the array as a whole. Location 0 of an image refers to the present location of the image. When referring to the movement of an image from location 0 to location 1, the representation is that

the image data in all of the cells of the array are moved to the neighbor cell that is to the left and upward. That is, the movement is relevant to a single cell in the array and is relevant to every cell in the array.

5 The computational array functionality can be best described in terms of four basic operations: image acquisition, reference image load, correlation computation, and reference image translation. The image acquisition refers to the loading of new image data via
10 the WDATA line 96 of each computation cell 94. In the present implementation, every 50 ms a new frame of pixel values is acquired from the sensor array via the column transfer amplifiers. The process of loading a new reference image is referred to as a "frame transfer."
15 The operations of the computation array described below are coordinated with the frame transfer process by observing and synchronizing with a frame transfer (FTB) signal. The validity of a new sample image is signaled by the falling edge of the FTB signal. The operations
20 described below are only appropriate when FTB is not asserted.

 Loading a reference frame of pixel values is required before any image correlations can be calculated. To load the reference frame, all of the voltages at the
25 CDATA nodes 104 in the computational array must be transferred to the REFH nodes 134. This is accomplished by setting CDOUT 106 and S(0) high, and pulsing the REFLD signal on line 132. The reference frame can also be loaded from another nearest-neighbor location by asserting one of the S(1)-S(8) inputs instead of S(0). Amplifier
30 156 buffers the REFH node 134 from the REFDATA nodes 136. The two-dimensional array of REFDATA nodes 136 within the computational array are collectively known as the reference frame.

35 After a reference frame has been loaded, the computational array is ready to compute correlations. Correlations between the reference frame of pixel values and the subsequent sample frame are computed by setting

the nearest-neighbor address to the desired value and recording the resulting voltage developed across the summing resistor of the attitude tracking circuit 154. When the sensor array has moved a single pixel distance from the location at which the reference frame was acquired, a strong correlation will be detected at one of the nearest-neighbor locations. In Fig. 5, the correlation is detected to be at POSITION 7 in the array 214. Sub-pixel movements can be determined by interpolating in two-dimensional correlation space.

It should be noted that correlations between the reference frame and itself can be computed by setting CDOUT 106 low and pulsing REFSFT 140. This causes the nearest-neighbor inputs to come from the reference frame, rather than from the sample frame.

Fig. 4 referred to the step 218 of replacing the reference frame. However, the same reference frame may be used for multiple pixel movements by allowing the reference to "reach" beyond the nearest-neighbor cells. Upon detection that changes in the angular orientation of the device have caused the field of view to shift by more than one pixel distance, the reference frame is shifted to the new location within the computational array. The shift to the new location is dictated by the change in angular orientation of the device. With the reference frame in the new location, nearest-neighbor correlations become correlations between the translated reference frame and a newly acquired sample frame. By translating the pixel values of the reference frame to new locations, inter-cell connectivity is kept to a minimum without compromising the accuracy of the attitude tracking.

The translation of the reference frame is accomplished within the individual computation cells of the computational array. Referring to the computation cell 94 of Fig. 6, a first step is to pulse REFSFT 140 high to sample-and-hold the REFDATA signal 136 at REFSH 138. A third buffer amplifier 158 drives REFOUT 160 with the REFSH signal. CDOUT 106 is driven low, allowing

REFOUT 160 to be the output of the computation cell 94 at the NN(0) node 108.

5 The nearest-neighbor address is then set to select the appropriate S(0)-S(8) input from the switch control signal lines 128. For example, if the T-shaped feature 204 in Fig. 5 is detected to have moved to the right and upwardly from the time at which the reference frame 202 is acquired to the time at which the sample frame 204 is acquired, the pixel values that comprise the reference frame must be shifted from cell-to-cell to the right and upwardly. Since S(0)-S(8) signals switch the address of the cell input NNINPUT 130, S(7) must be driven high to enable movement of the pixel value from location 7 of 212 in Fig. 5 to location 0. This takes place in each computation cell of the computational array. After REFOUT 160 has settled on the NNINPUT 130 of the appropriate neighbor cell, REFLD 132 is pulsed high to sample-and-hold the translated reference values at REFH 134. After this operation has occurred in each of the computation cells of the array, the computational array is again ready to compute correlations.

SENSOR/OPTICS ARRANGEMENT

25 Another aspect of the invention is the arrangement for significantly reducing the adverse effects of what is known in lens design as "curvilinear distortions." These distortions are also referred to as pincushion, barrel, and perspective distortions. The distortions compress rectilinear detail at the outer edges of a field of view.

30 The curvilinear distortions are particularly pronounced in simple lenses with wide fields of view. Such a lens may be used as the lens 26 in Fig. 1. The distortions are problematic, since they will cause the apparent shape of a feature to change as the feature drifts across the field of view. A change in the apparent shape of a feature will adversely affect the

correlation process described with reference to Figs. 4-6.

Fig. 7 shows images of a T-shaped feature, imaged with distortion-free optics onto a 32x32 pixel array 220. With such optics, the shape of the feature does not change with travel across the array, allowing high quality correlations and accurate tracking of pitch and yaw.

On the other hand, Fig. 8 illustrates the same feature imaged onto a pixel array 222 using a simple lens. It can be seen that the distortion increases as the feature is moved further from the optical axis of the lens. Fig. 9 shows in a stylized manner the way in which the feature is interpreted by the computational array for performing the correlation processing of Fig. 5. Each pixel in the array 224 of Fig. 9 represents a computational cell 94, such as the one shown in Fig. 6. It is evident that the feature is "viewed" differently as it changes position within the computational array. This reduces the quality of the correlation, and the accuracy of the tracking of device attitude. Equally undesirable, the apparent motion of the feature changes with position, further degrading the accuracy of attitude tracking. For example, a strong off-axis moving detail will be measured as moving less than if the identical move were made on-axis. The result is a degradation of the attitude-tracking accuracy of the system. The adverse effects may be made smaller by not carrying the reference image across as many pixels, but this option of more frequently "zeroing" the reference frame increases the number of incremental errors, thereby adding its own contribution to tracking inaccuracy.

Fig. 10 illustrates a two-dimensional array 226 of photosensors configured to match the distortion of the lens. That is, the characteristics of the lens system with regard to introducing curvilinear distortions are determined and the array is configured to compensate for the distortions. Projected onto the curvilinear array of

Fig. 10 is the T-shaped feature in three different positions. It can be noted that although the images of the feature are still distorted, the distortion causes the images to fit within the pixels. Thus, each feature will be viewed as being rectilinear at the computation array level. That is, the corner image 228 will be distorted at the sensor level, as shown in Fig. 10, but the corner image 230 will be "viewed" as being an undistorted "T" at the computational array 232 of Fig. 11.

The method of ascertaining the curvilinear geometry of the photosensor array elements may now be described. Conceptually, it consists of starting with an enlarged object plot of a 32x32 array of rectilinear (not curvilinear) cells, perhaps a foot square. The lens of choice is next used to produce an image of this array. This small and curvilinear image captures the distortions of the lens, and represents a reasonable approximation to an ideal array geometry.

This process produces accurate imaging with lenses of any field of view, and has many applications such as in positional navigation. However, in the present pointing device, it is a goal to transduce angles and not distances. For lenses of narrow fields of view, angles tend to become increasingly compressed as one moves further off of the optical axis.

This undesirable angular compression is avoided by changing the design process such that a segment of a sphere is substituted for the aforementioned flat array. The center of the spherical segment is overlaid approximately with the nodal point of the lens. The segment is lined at intervals of perhaps 2° in latitude and longitude. Each cell formed on the spherical segment, once imaged and reduced, is taken to be the outline of an array cell.

In practice, this method of generating the geometry of the curvilinear array is best accomplished using computer ray tracing. Many commercial optical

design programs are available and familiar to those skilled in the art.

One advantage of the curvilinear array is that it provides more accurate autocorrelations, regardless of how far the images move from the axis of the sensor array. A second benefit is that distances are true and not compressed, so that attitude tracking is accurate over larger pixel moves without regard to where the move is imaged on the sensor array. Each benefit of the curvilinear array in itself contributes to more accurate tracking. Since the correlation is stronger and less noisy, the accuracy of measuring the end point of each move segment is higher. Moreover, since the image can be tracked in longer segments, the frequency of zeroing the reference frame is reduced, so that the cumulative re-zeroing error becomes less. Finally, because the curvilinear array does not compress off-axis moves, the accuracy of distance measurement is greater.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

Claims

1. A method of tracking attitude of a device comprising the steps of:

fixing a two-dimensional array of photosensors to said device;

5 attaching an optical means to said device to image features of an environment onto said photosensors; utilizing said two-dimensional array to acquire a first image of features within a field of view of said array;

10 storing said first image; utilizing said two-dimensional array to acquire a second image of features within said field of view at a time subsequent to acquiring said first image, said first and second images being images of regions that are
15 largely overlapping such that there are features common to both of said first and second images;

correlating said first and second images to detect differences in location of said common features within said first and second images; and

20 based upon said step of correlating, forming an attitudinal signal indicative of any changes in angular orientation of said device during an interval between acquiring said first and second images.

2. The method of claim 1 further comprising a step of controlling location of a cursor on a display screen in response to said attitudinal signal.

3. The method of claim 2 wherein said step of controlling location of said cursor includes transmitting said attitudinal signal from said device to a remote receiver in a wireless manner.

5

5

5

5

5

9. A system for tracking attitude comprising:

a housing;

a two-dimensional array of photosensors fixed to said housing for forming images of features;

5 storage means connected to said array for storing a reference frame of pixel values in which each pixel value is indicative of light energy received at a particular photosensor at a specific time;

10 correlation means connected to said array and to said storage means for correlating an arrangement of said pixel values of said reference frame with at least one sample frame of pixel values formed in response to light energy received at said array subsequent to said specific time; and

15 computing means, responsive to detection of correlations by said correlation means, for generating an attitudinal signal representing pitch and yaw of pixel values of said at least one sample frame relative to said pixel values of said reference frame, said attitudinal
20 signal thereby representing pitch and yaw of said housing.

10. The system of claim 9 wherein said housing is hand holdable.

11. The system of claim 10 further comprising a video display and a demodulator means connected to receive said attitudinal signal for forming a cursor-control signal for maneuvering a cursor of said video display.

12. The system of claim 11 further comprising transmission means connected for wirelessly transmitting said cursor-control signal to said video display.

13. The system of claim 9 further comprising optical means for nominally focusing said array at infinity, said array having arcuate edges having curvatures selected to compensate for distortions induced by said optical means.

14. A method of controlling movement of a cursor of a video display comprising steps of:

providing a hand-holdable device having a two-dimensional array of photosensors;

5 tracking movement of said device relative to a region of an environment in which said device resides, including substeps of:

(a) periodically forming largely overlapping images of a field of view of said array;

10 (b) storing a first image as a reference image;

(c) correlating said images such that changes in location of characteristics of said region within successive images are computationally recognized;

15 in response to said substeps (a), (b) and (c), forming a cursor-control signal that corresponds to computational recognition of said changes in location; and

20 transmitting said cursor-control signal to said video display.

15. The method of claim 14 wherein said substep of correlating is a step including forming an attitudinal signal representative of pitch and yaw of said hand-holdable device.

16. The method of claim 14 wherein said step of transmitting said cursor-control signal includes transmitting said signal in a wireless manner to said video display.

17. An arrangement of a sensor and optics comprising:
an array of photosensors; and
a lens system for providing a focus for imaging
by said array, said lens system having a characteristic
5 of introducing curvilinear distortion of an image to said
array;

said array having a shape to achieve compensa-
tion of said curvilinear distortion, including having
arcuate edges to establish said compensation.

18. The arrangement of claim 17 wherein said photo-
sensors are disposed in a plurality of columns and a
plurality of rows and wherein said photosensors combine
to define an optical axis for said array, adjacent
5 columns being spaced apart by an arcuate boundary, with
radii of curvature of said arcuate boundaries increasing
with departure from said optical axis.

19. The arrangement of claim 18 wherein adjacent rows
are spaced apart by second arcuate boundaries, with radii
of curvature of said second arcuate boundaries increasing
with departure from said optical axis.

Abstract of the Disclosure

5 A method and system for tracking attitude of a
device includes fixing a two-dimensional array of photo-
sensors to the device and using the array to form a
reference frame and a sample frame of images. The fields
of view of the sample and reference frames largely
overlap, so that there are common imaged features from
frame to frame. Sample frames are correlated with the
10 reference frame to detect differences in location of the
common features. Based upon detection of correlations of
features, an attitudinal signal indicative of pitch, yaw,
and/or roll is generated. In the preferred embodiment,
the attitudinal signal is used to manipulate a screen
15 cursor of a display system, such as a remote interactive
video system (RIVS). However, attitudinal tracking using
the invention may be employed in other applications. An-
other aspect of the invention is that the two-dimensional
array is configured to compensate for any curvilinear
20 distortions introduced by a lens system for imaging the
features within the field of view of the array.

25

30

35

FIG. 1





FIG. 2







FIG. 4



2/4

FIG. 5






FIG 6

220

222

FIG 8

Figure 224 is a grid-based diagram. The grid is composed of small squares. A pattern of black squares is shown. In the top right corner, there is a small cluster of black squares. In the middle of the grid, there are two horizontal bars of black squares. An arrow points to the top right cluster with the label '224'.



**DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR PATENT APPLICATION**

PATENT APPLICATION
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10951105-1

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence/post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled:

Method and System for Tracking Attitude

the specification of which is attached hereto unless the following box is checked:

() was filed on _____ as US Application Serial No. or PCT International Application
Number _____ and was amended on _____ (if applicable).

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understood the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment(s) referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information which is material to patentability as defined in 37 CFR 1.56.

Foreign Application(s) and/or Claim of Foreign Priority

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code Section 119 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor(s) certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor(s) certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

COUNTRY	APPLICATION NUMBER	DATE FILED	PRIORITY CLAIMED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119
			YES: _____ NO: _____
			YES: _____ NO: _____

Provisional Application

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code Section 119(e) of any United States provisional application(s) lists below:

APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE

U. S. Priority Claim

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

APPLICATION SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	STATUS (patented/pending/abandoned)

POWER OF ATTORNEY:

As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s) listed below to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

Denise A. Lee	Timothy Rex Croll	Herbert R. Schulze	Edward Y. Wong
Reg. No. 35,931	Reg. No. 36,771	Reg. No. 30,682	Reg. No. 29,879

Send Correspondence to:
IP Administration
Legal Department, 20BO
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY
P.O. Box 10301
Palo Alto, California 94303-0890

Direct Telephone Calls To:

Denise A. Lee
(415) 857-5805

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of Inventor: Gary B. Gordon Citizenship: USA


Residence: 21112 Bank Mill Road

Post Office Address: Saratoga, CA 95070

Inventor's Signature

Date

United States Patent & Trademark Office
Office of Initial Patent Examination -- Scanning Division



Application deficiencies were found during scanning:

☒ Page(s) 2 of 2 of the declaration were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

☐ Page(s) _____ of _____ were not present
for scanning. (Document title)

☐ Scanned copy is best available.